RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 1 6 2005

PATENT RESPONSE

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W. H. D.

Application No. : 09/683,921

Filing Date

: March 1, 2002

Inventors

: Timothy P. Goggins

Title

: Lenticular Bar Code Image : Kumiko C. Koyama

Examiner Art Unit

: 2876

Attorney Docket: NG-31336 (07845-0032)

Confirmation No.: 3798

Customer No.

: 022202

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Parems, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 CFR 1.8(a)

with sufficient postage as first class mail

37 CFR 1.10

☐ As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

Transmission

transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. 703-872-9306 addressed to Examiner Kumiko C. Koyama at the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: 6-/6-05

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

Introductory Comments begin on:

page 2 of 15

Amended Claims begin on:

page 3 of 15

Remarks begin on:

page 12 of 15

Conclusion begins on:

page 14 of 15

Extension of Time begins on

page 15 of 15

1026567v1

1 of 15

Application No. 09/683,921

PATENT RESPONSE

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a March 11, 2005 non-final Office Action regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.

2 of 15